

Communication

New Polyethylene Macroinitiators and Their Subsequent Grafting by Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization

Yanika Schneider, Jason D. Azoulay, Robert C. Coffin, and Guillermo C. Bazan

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130 (32), 10464-10465 • DOI: 10.1021/ja803006d • Publication Date (Web): 19 July 2008

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 8, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





New Polyethylene Macroinitiators and Their Subsequent Grafting by Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization

Yanika Schneider, Jason D. Azoulay, Robert C. Coffin, and Guillermo C. Bazan*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Department of Materials, Center for Polymers and Organic Solids, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106

Received April 23, 2008; E-mail: bazan@chem.ucsb.edu

There has been a long-standing interest in the synthesis of block or graft copolymers containing ethylene and a suitable functional comonomer that can serve as blend compatibilizers between polyolefins and polar commodity plastics.¹ The ultimate goal is a polymer alloy that combines desirable properties of the individual materials.² Early transition metal catalysts are highly oxophilic, making it difficult to copolymerize polar monomers without loss in activity.³ Late transition metal complexes are more tolerant of functional groups but typically produce branched materials that therefore have low moduli.^{4,5} At present, commercial production of functionalized polyolefins is dominated by high-pressure, hightemperature radical processes that offer little control over the polymer architecture.⁶

Efforts in response to these challenges have appeared in the literature.⁷ In one example, a metallocene catalyst was used to copolymerize ethylene and 10-undecen-1-ol, protected with triethylaluminum, producing a material with an M_n (number average molecular weight) of 36K and a PDI (polydispersity index) of 3.⁸ The alcohol groups were converted into ATRP (atom transfer radical polymerization) initiators, and in a second step, acrylates and methacrylates were polymerized from the polyethylene (PE) backbone. A more recent report demonstrated that a Pd diimine catalyst can incorporate an ATRP initiator directly by chain walking ethylene polymerization, yielding functionalized PE.⁹ However, because the resulting material is hyperbranched, it is anticipated to be a poor compatibilizer for linear PE.¹⁰

Previously, we reported the quasi-living copolymerization of ethylene and functionalized norbornenes using nickel α-iminocarbaxamidato complexes.¹¹ In this communication, we demonstrate how this catalytic system can be utilized to directly copolymerize ethylene and an ATRP initiator to produce a PE macroinitiator, with excellent control over polymer architecture, via the sequence of steps in Scheme 1. As shown in the first step, [N-(2,6diisopropylphenyl)-2-(2,6-diisopropylphenylimino)isobutanamidato]- $Ni(\eta^1$ -CH₂Ph)(PMe₃) (1) and Ni(COD)₂ (bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)nickel) (2), as the coactivator, are used to copolymerize ethylene with 5-norbornen-2-yl-2'-bromo-2'-methyl propanoate (3). The isobutyl variant was chosen because increased bulk adjacent to the imine leads to faster initiation and weaker interactions with polar groups.¹² Compound 3, which provides the functionality for initiating the ATRP reactions in the second step of Scheme 1, is easily prepared from 5-norbornen-2-ol and bromoisobutyryl bromide. Initial efforts showed that, while 1 is inert, 2 immediately yields a metallic precipitate in the presence of 3. A modified procedure was thus developed, where the 1/2 mixture is exposed to ethylene for a time t_1 , after which **3** is added via a prepressurized addition funnel, and the polymerization is allowed to proceed for another period of time, t_2 .

A series of polymerization reactions were performed to test the strategy in Scheme 1, at an ethylene pressure ($P_{C_2H_4}$) of 100 psi and 20 °C, using a solution of **1** and **2** (0.24 and 0.6 mM, respectively) in toluene, followed by the addition of **3** (0.06 M) at t_1 . The M_n increases linearly with t_2 (from 2 to 22 min) when t_1 is kept constant at 3 min. This dependence, illustrated by the plot in Figure 1, confirms that the polymerization takes place in a controlled manner. Table 1 provides additional information about reaction

Scheme 1. Synthesis of PE Macroinitiator and Its Grafting by ATRP^a



^a PMDETA is N,N,N',N'',N''-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine.



Figure 1. Plot of PE macroinitiator M_n and PDI vs time ($t_1 = 3 \text{ min}$).

conditions, along with compositional analysis of the products. As shown in entry 1, when t_2 is 2 min, a polymer with an M_n of 45K and a PDI of 1.3 is produced. This material has a melting point $(T_{\rm m})$ of 126 °C, a degree of crystallinity (X_c) of 43%, and ~14 units of 3 per chain (0.9 mol %), as determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Supporting Information). Entries 1-3 reveal that there is little variation in the mol % of **3** as a function of t_2 . Increasing the reaction temperature from 20 to 29 °C nearly doubles M_n and increases the overall activity 3-fold (entry 1 vs 4). Decreasing the temperature to 16 °C yields a polymer with an M_n of 30K and a substantial decrease in activity. Comparison of entries 1 and 6-8 demonstrates the influence of $P_{C_2H_4}$ on the incorporation of **3**. At $P_{C_{2}H_{4}} = 400$ psi, the inimer content is below the detection limit of ¹H NMR spectroscopy, and the $T_{\rm m}$ is the highest (132 °C). Changing $P_{C_{2}H_4}$ from 100 to 200 psi yields a decrease in incorporation from 0.9% to 0.4%. At 50 psi (entry 8), the activity decreases by 50% (relative to entry 1) and the 3 content increases to 2.2%. The structure of the final product can also be tailored by changing the concentration of **3** added at t_1 , as illustrated by entries 9 and 10. When [3] is doubled, the average number of initiators per chain increases from 14 to 27; similarly, when [3] is halved, there are

Table 1. Polymerization Conditions and Resulting Macroinitiators

entry	t ₁	t ₂	[3]	Tª	P ^b	Ac	mol % 3 ^d	<i>M</i> _n ^e	T_m^f
1	3	2	0.06	20	100	360	0.9	45	126
2	3	4	0.06	20	100	370	1.1	62	125
3	3	22	0.06	20	100	330	0.9	154	128
4	3	2	0.06	29	100	960	0.9	80	125
5	3	2	0.06	16	100	200	1.2	30	126
6	3	2	0.06	20	400	390	< 0.01	48	132
7	3	2	0.06	20	200	350	0.4	46	127
8	3	2	0.06	20	50	180	2.2	45	123
9	3	2	0.12	20	100	300	1.7	43	125
10	3	2	0.03	20	100	360	0.4	46	127
11	1	4	0.06	20	100	220	2.8	42	115

^a Temperature (°C). ^b Pressure (psi). ^c Activity (kg/mol·h). ^d % inimer determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ${}^{e}M_{n}$ (kg/mol) determined by GPC. ^fMelting point (°C) determined by DSC. All PDIs are between 1.2 and 1.4.

Table 2. Optimization of Grafting Conditions

entry	equiv MMA	volume (mL)	time (h)	Mn	PDI	mol % PMMA ^a	T _m ^b	X_{c}^{b}
1	200	5	1	58	1.3	26	126	16
2	200	5	3	62	1.3	40	126	12
3	400	5	3	75	1.7	60	126	7
4	100	5	3	54	1.2	2	127	25
5	200	6	3	58	1.3	25	127	14
6	200	3	3	-	-	-	-	-

^a Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; ^b Melting point (°C) and degree of crystallinity (X_c) determined by DSC. ATRP performed at 100 °C on 50 mg of macroinitiator: [3]/[MMA]/[CuIBr][Cu^{II}Br₂][PMDETA] = 1:200:1:0.05:2.



Figure 2. GPC traces of PE macroinitiator (entry 1, Table 1) and PEgraft-PMMA (entry 1, Table 2) in o-dichlorobenzene at 135 °C.

only 6 initiators per chain. Finally, when t_1 is reduced to 1 min, and the total polymerization time is the same as that in entry 1 (5 min), the inimer content increases from 0.9% to 2.8%. This material has the lowest $T_{\rm m}$ (115 °C) and $X_{\rm c}$ (17%). Note that despite variations in pressure, temperature, and [3], one obtains products with low PDIs (between 1.2 and 1.4); this is a remarkable feature considering that 2 is unstable toward 3.

A series of ATRP reactions were performed as shown in the second step of Scheme 1 by using literature methodologies.^{8,13} Typically, 50 mg of macroinitiator (from entry 1, Table 1) were treated with methyl methacrylate (MMA) and a solution of a copper salt in anisole at 100 °C, such that the ratio of reactants was $[3]/[MMA]/[Cu^{IB}r]/[Cu^{II}Br_2]/[PMDETA] = 1:200:1:0.05:2$. The results are summarized in Table 2. After an hour of reaction, M_n increases from 45K to 58K, as illustrated in Figure 2. While the $T_{\rm m}$ of this material is 126 °C, similar to the starting macroinitiator, the X_c decreases from 43% to 16%. Comparison of entries 1 and 2 reveals that products have low PDIs and increased PMMA content at longer reaction times, indicating a good degree of control over the grafting process. There is also a decrease in X_c , from 16% to 12%, while the $T_{\rm m}$ remains constant. Although the change in $M_{\rm n}$ between 1 and 3 h is small, this has been previously observed in other PE-graft-PMMA systems⁸ and can be attributed to a propensity to form micellar structures in solution, which alters the hydrodynamic volume and yields inaccurate molecular weight measurements. PMMA side chains were hydrolyzed using sodium methoxide in methanol.⁸ GPC analysis confirms that the M_n of the detached chains increases from 8600 (entry 1) to 13,800 (entry 2), while the PDIs remain at ~ 1.2 .

When [MMA] is doubled, the PMMA content increases from 40% to 60% and the M_n rises to 70K (entry 3), although at the cost of an increase in PDI. Similarly, when [MMA] is halved, the reaction yields only 2 mol % of PMMA after 3 h (entry 4). Comparison of entry 2 to entries 5 and 6 reveals the importance of maintaining a proper volume such that the macroinititator remains in solution and unwanted side reactions do not occur. When the total volume is increased from 5 to 6 mL, the PMMA content shifts from 40% to 25%. However, when the volume is reduced to 3 mL, an insoluble gel is obtained. Successful grafting experiments were also performed using HMTETA in place of PMDETA (Supporting Information).

In conclusion, the method in Scheme 1 provides access to PEgraft-PMMA with excellent control over the structural properties of the macroinitiator and the resulting graft copolymers. A key element is the initiation in the absence of 3, which decomposes Ni(COD)₂. Once the active species is generated, the copolymerization of ethylene and 3 proceeds in a controlled fashion. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that the final graft material is semicrystalline, which argues favorably for its use as a blend compatibilizer for linear PE.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to Mitsubishi Chemical Center for Advanced Materials (MC-CAM) and to the DOE (DE-FG02-98ER14910) for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: General experimental and characterization procedures. This is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) (a) Schellekens, M.; Klumperman, B. J. Macromol. Sci., Polym. Rev. 2000, 40 (2), 167–192. (b) Kobori, Y.; Akiba, I.; Akiyama, S. Polymer 2000, 41, 5971-5975. (c) Hadjichristidis, N.; Pispas, S. Block Copolymers; Wiley-
- (2) (a) Yanjarappa, M. J.; Sivaram, S. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2002, 27, 1347–1398.
 (b) Cheng, J. Y.; Mayes, A. M.; Ross, C. A. Nat. Mater. 2004, 3, 823–828.
 (c) Dong, J-Y.; Hu, Y. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 47–65.
 (3) Gibson, V. C.; Spitzmesser, S. K. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 283–315.
 (4) (c) Hurg, S. D. Larger, M. K. Preschert, M. Chem. Rev. 2009, 100, 1460.
- (a) Ittel, S. D.; Johnson, L. K.; Brookhart, M. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1169-1203. (b) Michalak, A.; Ziegler, T. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 12, 145-186
- (5) There are exceptions in the literature, including: (a) Yonkin, T.; Connor, E.; Henderson, J.; Friedrich, S.; Grubbs, R.; Bansleben, D. Science 2000, 28, 460. (b) Nelana, S. M.; Darkwa, J.; Guzei, I. A.; Mapolie, S. F. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 1835-1842.
- (6) Chung, T. C. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2002, 27, 39-85.
- (a) Liu, S.; Sen, A. Macromolecules 2001, 34, 1529-1532. (b) Cao, C.; Zou, J.; Dong, J-Y.; 1 Hu, Y.; Chung, T. C. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2005, 43, 429-437
- Inoue, Y.; Matsugi, T.; Kashiwa, N.; Matyjaszewski, K. *Macromolecules* 2004, *37*, 3651–3658.
- (9) Zhang, K.; Wang, J.; Subramanian, R.; Ye, Z.; Lu, J.; Yu, Q. Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2007, 28, 2185–2191.
- (10) LDPE is only miscible with HDPE if it has less than 30 branchers per carbon. Reference 9 reports approximately 90 branches. Fan, Z.; Williams, M.; Choi, P. Polymer 2002, 43, 1492–1502.
- (11) (a) Diamanti, S. J.; Ghosh, P.; Shimizu, F.; Bazan, G. C. Macromolecules 2003. 36, 9731–9735. (b) Diamanti, S.: Khanna, V.: Hotta, A.: Yamakawa, D; Shimizu, F.; Kramer, E.; Fredrickson, G.; Bazan, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10528
- (12) Azoulay, J.; Itigaki, K.; Wu, G.; Bazan, G. Organometallics 2008, 27, 2273-2280.
- (13) (a) Kaneyoshi, H.; Inoue, Y.; Matyjaszewski, K. Macromolecules 2005, 38, 5425–5435. (b) Yamamoto, K.; Miwa, Y.; Tanaka, H.; Sakaguchi, M.; Shimada, S. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2002, 40, 3350-3359.

JA803006D